

#### **SOCIAL SCIENCES & HUMANITIES**

Journal homepage: http://www.pertanika.upm.edu.my/

# Impact of Commuter Families on Adolescent Development: An Evaluation of the Adolescent from Peninsular Malaysia

Siaw Yan-Li<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Samsilah Roslan<sup>1</sup>, Maria Chong Abdullah<sup>1</sup> and Haslinda Abdullah<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Educational Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia <sup>2</sup>Institute of Educational Leadership, Universiti of Malaya, 59990 UM, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Human Ecology, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

#### ABSTRACT

This study attempted to address the question concerning the influence of commuter families on adolescent school performance using the proposed Model for Adolescent Development Among Commuter Families (MADCF). We investigated whether the adolescent problem mediates the relationship between parental readiness and adolescent school performance, and clarified the moderating effect of gender. The survey data were drawn from 434 respondents (adolescents-parents) in Malaysia. The results indicated that the adolescent externalising problem partially mediated the relationship between parental readiness and adolescent school performance. The model accounted for 21% of the variability in adolescent school performance. The moderator findings presented that gender moderates the causal effect of parental readiness and the adolescent externalising problem with respect to adolescent school performance. This study clearly shows the importance of parental readiness, and that the results are in line with the proposed mediation and moderation effects. The model proposed by this study was strongly supported.

Keywords: Parental readiness, commuter families, adolescent development

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received: 06 March 2017 Accepted: 27 November 2017

*E-mail addresses:* yanli@um.edu.my (Siaw Yan-Li), samsilah@upm.edu.my (Samsilah Roslan), mariac@upm.edu.my (Maria Chong Abdullah), lynn@upm.edu.my (Haslinda Abdullah) \*Corresponding author

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The idea of 'commuter families' originated from the lifestyle of military families (Grestel & Gross, 1983). However, in recent years, an increasing number of married couples from other occupational sectors, such as professional, public service and civilian populations who commit to a commuting lifestyle have become more common for various reasons, such as career opportunities and economic development. The term, 'commuter families', describes the phenomenon of a married couple who agree to have their own careers and maintain two residences in different geographical locations and are separated from each other for at least three nights per week.

In Malaysia, commuter families are defined as a weekend family by means of one of the married couple staying far away from the nuclear family and only meeting the family once a week, twice a month, once a month etc. (MWFCD, 2010). The increasing trend for such a lifestyle in Malaysian society indicates that commuter families are becoming more common, especially among young couples. According to previous studies, among the most common reasons that married couples commit to a commuter lifestyle, either voluntarily or imposed, are the increasing number of households with dual-career couples, financial and societal demands, the desire to maintain or enhance career, deployment by employer, potentially higher income and better opportunities for career advancement (Ferk, 2005; Norlila, 2011).

This matter has become a concern in Malaysian society because the lifestyle of commuting families is entirely different from and contrary to the conventional model of family in Malaysia Abd Rashid, Hussin, & Jubah, 2006). As reported by Rahim et al. (2006), most Malaysians have a traditional family consisting of the father as the primary breadwinner and the mother, who plays the main role of caregiver. Even for dualcareer families in Malaysia, gender ideology strongly affects parental role in the family function and child development.

Due to the above reasons, the first research into commuter families conducted in Malaysia was to investigate the challenges faced and the impact of such a lifestyle on the health, well-being and quality of life of families (Norlila, 2011). The study revealed that 86.6% of the respondents (commuters) had a negative perception of commuter lifestyle. Commuters with a negative perception of their lifestyle often manifest psychosocial problems, such as depression, stress or aggression. According to the findings, commuters have a negative perception and psychosocial problem because they were less prepared to commute. Nonetheless, there was a significant relationship between commuters' psychosocial outlook and child-rearing at home. The findings showed that commuters with a psychosocial problem response spent the least time in discussion with their children. Quality of discussion with children relating to issues, such as education, personal problems, ambition and friends was lacking.

The findings of this study have raised the concern of researchers in Malaysia. Parents are the first educators of their children and play an important role in their development, especially during their adolescence (Erikson Theory, 1959; Bronfenbrenner Model, 1979). Psychosocial factors affecting parents greatly influence a child's behaviour and academic performance (Schwab et al.,

1995; Finkel, Kelly, & Ashby, 2003; Kessler et al., 2005; Pugh & Farell, 2012). Therefore, readiness to commute is important in ensuring a positive psychosocial outlook among commuters, which should lead to better child-rearing, family function and child outcome. Lack of family readiness and the degree of preparation prior to moving may affect family outcomes and the work performance of spouses (Palmer, 2008). Previous research suggested that parental stress and pathology from the separation of families are expected to negatively impact on a child's development including academic achievement and behavioural problems (Kelly et al., 2001; Barry et al., 2005). Preparation and degree of readiness are believed to smoothen the transition and family functions, and, indirectly influence the child's outcome (Yonezawa, 2000; Burrell, 2006; Dumka et al., 2009; Kiernan & Mensah, 2011).

#### **Present Study**

This study sought to investigate the impact of commuter lifestyle on adolescent outcome among commuter families in Malaysia. Four main objectives were addressed by this study: (1) To provide an overall description of parental readiness to commute (cognitive, emotional, behavioural); adolescent problems (internalising problem, externalising problem and other problems); and adolescent school performance (curriculum and co-curriculum) among commuter families in Malaysia; (2) To assess the relation and influence between parental readiness, adolescent problems and adolescent school performance; (3) To determine if adolescent problems mediate the relation between parental readiness and adolescent school performance; and (4) To examine the effect of gender as a moderator in the individual paths of the model. Finally, a simple Model of Adolescent Development Among Commuter Families (MADCF) is proposed at the end of the study.

#### METHOD

#### **Research Design**

A quantitative research design, which included conducting a correlational study and path analysis, was used in this study. The study was based on data obtained from two sets of questionnaire to gather information from adolescents (Youth Self-Report, 1991) and parents (Parental Readiness Inventory). The Pearson correlation test (SPSS) and structural equation modelling (SEM) were applied in the data analysis. Data were randomly collected from public secondary schools in Selangor, Malaysia. Selangor has been identified as the state with a dramatic increase in the number of commuter families in Malaysia (MWFCD, 2010; Norlila, 2011). The participation of the subjects (adolescent and parents) was voluntary and all information was kept confidential and used only for the purpose of research.

#### **Participants**

To be eligible for the study, participants had to be from commuter families. They were identified by school administrators.

Table 1 (continue)

In this study, commuter families were defined as families in which either the father or the mother or both the parents staved separately from the nuclear family for at least three nights a week because of career commitment, and the particular family must have practised the defined commuter lifestyle for at least one year before the date of data collection. A total of 376 families were identified as commuter families, of which 239 families were willing and agreed to participate. After excluding incomplete data and potential outliers, 217 families (434 samples; parent-child dyads) were confirmed as reliable and were considered for further analyses. The summary of the participants' demographics is presented in Table 1.

Table 1Descriptive statistics of demographics

| Item         |                    | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------------|--------------------|-----------|------------|
| Gender       | Male               | 116       | 53.5       |
| (Adolescent) | Female             | 101       | 46.5       |
| Age          | 13                 | 39        | 18.0       |
| (Adolescent) | 14                 | 32        | 14.7       |
|              | 15                 | 61        | 28.1       |
|              | 16                 | 36        | 16.6       |
|              | 17                 | 49        | 22.6       |
| Race         | Malay              | 124       | 57.1       |
|              | Chinese            | 37        | 17.1       |
|              | Indian             | 56        | 25.8       |
| Commuter     | Father             | 141       | 65         |
|              | Mother             | 32        | 14.7       |
|              | Father &<br>Mother | 44        | 20.3       |

| Item                |                        | Frequency | Percentage |
|---------------------|------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Years of<br>Commute | 1-4                    | 128       | 59         |
|                     | 5-8                    | 59        | 27.2       |
|                     | 9-12                   | 19        | 8.8        |
|                     | 13-16                  | 7         | 3.2        |
|                     | 17-20                  | 4         | 1.8        |
| Monthly<br>Income   | Less than RM4000       | 81        | 37.3       |
| (Family)            | RM4000-<br>RM6000      | 68        | 31.3       |
|                     | RM6001-<br>RM8000      | 30        | 13.8       |
|                     | More<br>than<br>RM8000 | 38        | 17.5       |

N=217; RM=Ringgit Malaysia

#### **Instruments and Measurement**

Instrument: Parental readiness inventory. Three indicators of parental readiness were evaluated: (1) Parental cognitive readiness; (2) Parental emotional readiness; and (3) Parental behavioural readiness. The data were collected based on 32 items, which were instrument specific and developed to measure the level of parental readiness to commute among commuter families in Malaysia (Prochaska & Diclemente, 1983; Price & Horning, 1994; Van Breda, 1997; Dieryek, 2003; Norlila, 2011). Five stages of change were suggested by Prochaska and Diclement (1984) through the Transtheoretical Model. The model illustrates each stage as assessing an individual's readiness to act on a new and healthier behaviour for a better lifestyle (Figure 1). The validity and reliability analyses were examined. Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) was applied to determine the Average Variance Extracted (AVE) and the Construct Reliability (CR) of the study instrument, as shown in Table 2. The instrument for parental readiness showed a high AVE (more than 0.50), indicating high convergent validity (Fornell & Larcker, 1981); the instrument is reliable with a CR and Cronbach's Alpha of more than 0.70 (Hair et al., 2005).



*Figure 1*. Stages of change (Prochaska & Diclement, 1984)

Table 2

Number of items, Cronbach's Alpha, Average Variance Extracted (AVE) and Construct Reliability (CR) of study instrument

| Instrument Indicator |                | No Items          | Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA)-<br>AMOS |       |                     | SPSS  |  |
|----------------------|----------------|-------------------|---|-------|---------------------|-------|--|
|                      |                | Factor<br>Loading | AVE   | CR    | Cronbach's<br>Alpha |       |  |
|                      |                |                   |   | 0.564 | 0.792               | 0.734 |  |
| Parental             | Cognitive      | 12                | 0.61  |       |                     |       |  |
| Readiness            | Emotional      | 11                | 0.87  |       |                     |       |  |
|                      | Behavioural    | 9                 | 0.75  |       |                     |       |  |
|                      |                |                   |   | 0.747 | 0.894               | 0.951 |  |
| Youth Self-          | Internalising  | 31                | 0.91  |       |                     |       |  |
| Report               | Externalising  | 30                | 0.76  |       |                     |       |  |
|                      | Other Problems | 30                | 0.76  |       |                     |       |  |

AVE=Average Variance Extracted; CR=Construct Reliability; N=217

**Instrument: Youth self-report.** The instrument for youth self-report, as developed by Achenbach (1991), was used to measure adolescents' problems, including 10 symptoms related to three major types of problem: (1) Internalising problem (anxious, withdrawn, somatic complaint); (2) Externalising problem (rule-

breaking and aggressive behaviour); and (3) Other problems (social problem, thought problem and attention problem). The Youth Self-Report is a self-administered survey intentionally designed for adolescents aged from 11 to 18 for the purpose of measuring the emotional and behavioural problems among adolescents in a standardised format. The Youth Self-Report is an established questionnaire and has been used in more than 23 countries represented by different cultural and socioeconomic conditions, such as Hong Kong, Australia, Iran, Japan, and Poland (Ivavova et al., 2007). There are a total of 112 items in the instrument of the Youth Self-Report, with 31 items assessing theinternalising problem, 30 items assessing the externalising problem and 30 items assessing other problems. The confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was applied to determine the average variance extracted (AVE) and the construct reliability (CR) of the study instrument, as shown in Table 2. The value of the validity and reliability of the construct was more than 0.70 and the factor loading for all the indicators was more than 0.50.

**Instrument: School performance.** School performance was measured based on the adolescent curriculum and co-curriculum achievement in school. The final examination marks (year 2012) and yearly average scores in the co-curriculum were kindly provided by the school administrator. The Malaysian Secondary School Grading System was used to measure adolescent school performance from Grade A to Grade E.

#### **Confirmatory Factor Analysis**

Validity and reliability analyses were used to determine the validity and reliability of the instruments. The confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was applied for each individual construct to determine the average variance extracted (AVE) and the construct reliability (CR) of the study instruments. Table 2 shows that both instruments had a high AVE (more than 0.50), indicating high convergent validity, and the instruments were reliable, with a CR of more than 0.70 (Fornell & Larcker, 1981; Hair et al., 2008).

#### **Analytic Strategy**

Analyses were conducted using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) and AMOS (Analysis of Moment Structures). SPSS was first used for the reliability test, descriptive analysis and Pearson correlation test. The purpose of the correlation analysis was to confirm the significance of the relationship between each construct: parental readiness, adolescent problems and adolescent school performance. According to Baron and Kenny (1986), all the variables must be correlated with each other to establish a complete mediation effect among the variables. Therefore, the Pearson correlation test was used before the path analysis was employed to test the mediation effect.

Next, structural equation modelling (SEM-AMOS) was used for the second and third step of the path analysis. In SEM, the measurement model was developed based on the outcomes of the Pearson correlation test. The initial variable, mediator and outcome variable have to significantly correlate with each other (Baron & Kenny, 1986). The discriminant validity and model fit were stated based on the measurement model. The purpose for testing the model fit was to confirm that the model proposed is acceptable with good fit and suitable for the hypothesis test. Lastly, the structural model was formed to examine the influence of parental readiness and the adolescent externalising problem on adolescent school performance and also to test the mediation (adolescent problem) and moderation (gender) effect on the Model of Adolescent School Performance Among Commuter Families (MASPCF).

#### RESULTS

#### **Descriptions Analysis**

We first present the results of the description analysis to provide descriptions of parental readiness, adolescent problems and adolescent school performance among commuter families in Malaysia. As noted in Table 3, 62.6% of the respondents' parents were in the preparation and ready-to-change stage; 33.2% were in the stage of making a change. Only a minority of the respondents' parents (4.1%) were still in the stage of contemplation and 0.5% of the respondents had adapted to the commuter lifestyle.

The descriptive table reveals that female adolescents scored higher for the internalising problem and attention problem than the male respondents; this was expected for symptoms of somatic complaints, as illustrated by the mean and standard deviation value in Table 4. However, the male adolescents reported higher mean scores for the symptoms of the externalising problem, social problems and thought problems compared with the female adolescents. According to the results, only 28.6% of the respondents reported Grade A in the curriculum achievement and 31.8% reported Grade B (Table 5). For co-curriculum performance, Table 6 shows 50% of the respondents having achieved Grade B.

### Table 3Descriptive statistics for parental readiness

| Descriptive Statistic | Score                  |
|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Mean                  | 84.99                  |
| Median                | 84.00                  |
| Standard Deviation    | 9.381                  |
| Minimum               | 60.00                  |
| Maximum               | 113.00                 |
| Percentile            |                        |
| 25th                  | 79.00                  |
| 50th                  | 84.00                  |
| 75th                  | 91.00                  |
| Stages of Change      | Frequency (Percentage) |
| Pre-contemplation     | 0 (0 %)                |
| Contemplation         | 9 (4.1%)               |
| Preparation           | 135 (62.6%)            |
| Action                | 72 (33.2%)             |
| Maintenance           | 1 (0.5%)               |
| N-217                 |                        |

N=217

#### Table 4

Descriptive statistics for adolescent problem (Youth Self-Report)

| Variables          | Symptom                 | Ν       | Male   |         | Female |  |
|--------------------|-------------------------|---------|--------|---------|--------|--|
|                    |                         | Mean    | SD     | Mean    | SD     |  |
| Adolescent Problem | Internalising Problem   | 17.3103 | 9.2890 | 17.7921 | 7.4998 |  |
|                    | Anxious                 | 8.0776  | 4.2038 | 8.8020  | 3.8653 |  |
|                    | Withdrawn               | 4.8621  | 2.9632 | 5.1386  | 2.6155 |  |
|                    | Somatic Complaints      | 4.3707  | 3.3765 | 3.8515  | 2.4265 |  |
|                    | Externalising Problem   | 13.8190 | 9.7559 | 11.1782 | 5.7955 |  |
|                    | Rule-Breaking Behaviour | 4.9397  | 4.3862 | 2.9505  | 2.4429 |  |
|                    | Aggressive Behaviour    | 8.8798  | 5.9112 | 8.2277  | 4.2588 |  |
|                    | Other Problems          | 18.3276 | 8.7950 | 17.3960 | 7.7538 |  |
|                    | Social Problems         | 6.5690  | 3.5928 | 5.7723  | 3.4231 |  |
|                    | Thought Problems        | 6.8966  | 4.1439 | 6.4851  | 3.2973 |  |
|                    | Attention Problems      | 4.8621  | 2.3844 | 5.1386  | 2.4901 |  |

N=217

#### Table 5 Descriptive statistics for adolescent school performance: Curriculum

| Grade            | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|------------------|-----------|----------------|
| A (100 to 80)    | 62        | 28.6           |
| B (79 to 60)     | 69        | 31.8           |
| C (59 to 40)     | 64        | 29.5           |
| D (39 to 20)     | 22        | 10.1           |
| E (less than 19) | -         | -              |
| Total            | 217       | 100            |
| N-217            |           |                |

N=217

## Table 6Descriptive Statistics for adolescent school

performance: Co-curriculum

| Descriptive Statistic | Score |  |
|-----------------------|-------|--|
| Mean                  | 70.29 |  |
| Medium                | 70.00 |  |
| Standard Deviation    | 5.157 |  |
| Minimum               | 59.00 |  |
| Maximum               | 83.00 |  |
| Percentile            |       |  |
| 25th                  | 67.00 |  |
| 50th                  | 70.00 |  |
| 75th                  | 74.00 |  |
| N-217                 |       |  |

N=217

#### **Correlational Analysis**

A second series of analyses was conducted to evaluate the relationship between parental readiness, adolescent problem and adolescent academic performance. The Pearson correlation test was used to examine the relation between each indicator of the variables. A total of eight indicators were used to measure three variables in the study. The Pearson correlation test reported that two of the three indicators of parental readiness (emotional and behavioural) were not significantly related to the adolescent internalising problem (Table 7). In addition, the findings revealed no significant relationship between adolescent other problems (social problem, thought problem, attention problem) with parental readiness and adolescent school performance. However, the adolescent externalising problem was significantly related to parental readiness and adolescent school performance and adolescent school performance was significantly correlated with parental readiness, as shown in Table 7.

Table 7

Correlation between parental readiness, adolescent behavior problem and adolescent school performance

| Indicators                 | 1        | 2        | 3        | 4        | 5        | 6      | 7       | 8 |
|----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------|---------|---|
| 1. Cognitive (readiness)   |          |          |          |          |          |        |         |   |
| 2. Emotional (readiness)   | 0.505**  |          |          |          |          |        |         |   |
| 3. Behavioural (readiness) | 0.451**  | 0.671**  |          |          |          |        |         |   |
| 4. Internalizing Problem   | -0.192** | -0.069   | -0.062   |          |          |        |         |   |
| 5. Externalizing Problem   | -0.473** | -0.527** | -0.398** | 0.479**  |          |        |         |   |
| 6. Other Problems          | -0.089   | -0.063   | -0.003   | 0.677**  | 0.411**  |        |         |   |
| 7. Curriculum              | 0.298**  | 0.384**  | 0.299**  | -0.191** | -0.364** | -0.059 |         |   |
| 8. Co-curriculum           | 0.256**  | 0.362**  | 0.301**  | -0.164*  | -0.328** | -0.019 | 0.972** |   |

\*\*correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed) \*correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)

Correlation across sources was generally low (less than 0.49), except for the correlation between the adolescent externalising problem and parental emotional readiness (r=0.527), which was defined as moderate correlation according to Guilford's Rule of Thumb (Guilford, 1956). Although the adolescent internalising problem was significantly related to parental cognitive readiness and adolescent school performance, there was relatively low correlation (less than 0.20). In conclusion, based on the findings, it appeared that there was no appropriate relationship between parental readiness and adolescent school performance and two indicators of the adolescent problem (adolescent internalising problem and other problems). Therefore, the step to establish

the model for path analysis using SEM only focused on the relationship between three constructs: (1) Parental readiness; (2) Adolescent externalising problem; and (3) Adolescent school performance. Finally, the step to establish mediation was met since the initial variable (parental readiness) was correlated with the outcome (adolescent school performance) and mediator (adolescent externalising problem).

#### **Measurement Model**

**Discriminant Validity.** In the third step of the analysis, the measurement model was created by combining the three constructs (parental readiness, adolescent externalising problem, adolescent school

performance) with a total of six indicators (cognitive readiness, emotional readiness, behaviour readiness, aggressive behaviour, delinquency behaviour, co-curriculum achievement, curriculum achievement) in reference to the results of the correlational analysis. The measurement model is important for examining discriminant validity through the average variance extracted (AVE) and squared correlation coefficient. Discriminant validity refers to the extent to which a construct is truly distinct from other constructs and involves the relationship between a particular latent construct and other constructs of a similar nature (Brown, 2006). Table 8 presents the discriminant validity of the study instruments. The table shows that all the instruments had a higher average variance extracted (AVE) compared with the squared correlation coefficient (Hair et al., 2010). The correlation coefficient between the constructs was not more than 0.90 and the AVE for each construct was more than 0.50 (Fornell & Larcker, 1981; Hair et al., 2010).

Table 8

Average variance extracted and squared correlation coefficients

| Construct                | (1)   | (2)   | (3)   |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1. Externalising problem | 0.700 |       |       |
| 2. Parental Readiness    | 0.423 | 0.563 |       |
| 3. School Performance    | 0.160 | 0.185 | 0.923 |

Average Variance Extracted (on the Diagonal); Squared Correlation Coefficients (on the offdiagonal) **Model Fit.** A number of fit indices were used to test for the model fit (Byrne, 2001; 2010; Hair et al., 2010). The goal of examining the fit indices of a model is to determine how well it models the data. According to Hair (2010), a minimum of three to four fit indices are suggested for a model fit. Table 9 shows that all the values in the research model were reported to fit the recommended value. Therefore, the model suggested in this study is statistically accepted and indicates a well-fitting model.

| Tab | ole 9   |
|-----|---------|
| Fit | indices |

| Fit Indices   | Recommended<br>Value | Model Value |
|---------------|----------------------|-------------|
| Relative Chi- | <=5.00               | 1.335       |
| square        |                      |             |
| AGFI          | >=0.90               | 0.951       |
| GFI           | >=0.90               | 0.981       |
| CFI           | >=0.90               | 0.996       |
| IFI           | >=0.90               | 0.996       |
| RMSEA         | <=0.80               | 0.039       |

#### **Path Analysis**

**Structural Model.** A similar analysis was conducted to examine the effect of parental readiness and the adolescent externalising problem with respect to adolescent school performance (Figure 2). Based on the analysis, parental readiness had a significant effect on the adolescent externalising problem ( $\beta$ =-0.65; p<0.01), and adolescent school performance ( $\beta$ =0.31; p<0.01),

whereas the adolescent externalising problem had a significant effect on the adolescent's school performance ( $\beta$ =-0.20; p<0.01). The findings indicated that the contribution of the adolescent externalising problem was slightly weaker compared with parental readiness. The model accounted for 21% of the variability in adolescent school performance explained by the model with the total effect being 0.44. The direct effect of the model was 0.31, while the indirect effect was 0.13.



Figure 2. Structural model: Mediation model of adolescent development among commuter families (MADCF)

**Mediation Model of Adolescent's School Performance.** The objective of establishing the Mediation Model of Adolescent School Performance (MMASF) was to explore the role of the adolescent externalising problem as a mediator between parental readiness to commute and adolescent school performance (Figure 2). Table 10 shows that the standardised direct effect of parental readiness on adolescent school performance in the direct model and mediation model were statistically significant ( $\beta$ =0.44 and 0.31, respectively). However, the effect of parental readiness on adolescent school performance in the mediation model was slightly less compared with the direct model. Therefore, we reasoned that the adolescent externalising problem partially mediates the relationship between parental readiness and adolescent school performance. Additional bootstrapping (AMOS) revealed that our results were in line with the research outcomes. The bootstrapping analyses showed that the adolescent externalising problem had a partial mediation effect on the relationship between parental readiness and school performance, strongly supporting the research outcomes. As shown in Table 11, bootstrapping for the mediation test indicated that the standardised direct effect of parental readiness on school performance in the direct model was significant and that the effect was significantly reduced in the mediation model with a significant standard indirect effect (SIE). Therefore, the partial mediation effect was accepted.

Table 10Results of Mediation Test

| Construct  | Beta  | р    |
|--|-------|------|
| Direct Model   |       |      |
| Parental Readiness $\rightarrow$ School Performance    | 0.44  | 0.00 |
| Mediation Model  |       |      |
| Parental Readiness $\rightarrow$ School Performance    | 0.31  | 0.00 |
| Parental Readiness $\rightarrow$ Externalising Problem | -0.65 | 0.00 |
| Externalising Problem $\rightarrow$ School Performance | -0.20 | 0.04 |

#### Table 11

Bootstrapping for Mediation Test

| Model   | Beta   |
|---|--------|
| Direct Model  |        |
| Parental Readiness $\rightarrow$ School Performance | 0.44** |
| Mediation Model                                     |        |
| Parental Readiness → School Performance             | 0.31** |
| Std. Indirect Effect (SIE)                          | 0.13*  |
| **significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)          |        |

\*significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed) \*significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed) 95% CI Bootstrap BC: LB=0.02; UP=0.27

#### Adolescent Development among Commuter Families in Malaysia

Table 11 Moderation Test

| Model  | Beta    |
|--|---------|
| Parental Readiness $\rightarrow$ School Performance    |         |
| Male   | 0.36**  |
| Female   | 0.19    |
| Parental Readiness $\rightarrow$ Externalising Problem |         |
| Male   | -0.66** |
| Female   | -0.38** |
| Externalising Problem $\rightarrow$ School Performance |         |
| Male   | -0.12   |
| Female   | -0.35** |

\*\* significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)

**Moderation Test.** The moderation test was used to examine the effect of gender as a moderator in the individual paths of the model. The results indicated that gender moderates the causal effect of parental readiness on school performance and the causal effect of the externalising problem on school performance, as shown in Table 12. According to the decision criteria recommended by Hair (2010), the findings showed that gender did not moderate the causal effect of parental readiness on the adolescent externalising problem.

#### DISCUSSION

The purpose of the study was to investigate the impact of the commuter lifestyle on the adolescent outcome among commuter families in Malaysia. To understand the impact of commuter families on adolescents, we first provided a description about the level of parental readiness to commute, adolescent development from the perspective of the adolescent behaviour problem and school performance. The findings suggested that the majority of the adolescents in this study scored B in their school curriculum and co-curriculum tasks. This was in line with the first study done in Malaysia, which reported that children in commuting families had been experiencing difficulties in co-curricular achievement since the family adopted the commuting lifestyle (Norlila, 2011). This clearly indicated that the lifestyle of commuter families directly and indirectly influenced adolescent educational achievement (Dumka, 2009). School performance and achievement are important in the development of youth to determine their future, especially their future career (Masten & Coatsworth, 1998; Elder & Conger, 2000). The study also argued that adolescents potentially experienced the externalising problem, internalising problem and other problems including social, attention and thought problems. The overall findings indicated that the mean scores of the adolescent

problem in this study were higher than in the referred samples provided by Achenbach (1991). A preview of the research showed that the lifestyle of commuter families had a negative impact on the adolescent problem (Kelly et al., 2011; Finkel et al., 2003). According to Norlila (2011), 80% of the commuters in Malaysia claimed that their children had been experiencing discipline problems in school since their commuter lifestyle started. Therefore, further investigation is warranted to ensure that the growing number of commuter families would not affect the development of adolescents and their school performance. In respect to the level of parental readiness to commute, our findings showed that the majority of the respondents (62.6%) were in the stage of preparation to change. Although they were prepared and willing to make changes for a better life, practical actions had yet to be taken; this is the stage defined as 'thinking but action not started' (Prochaska & DiClement, 1984). However, one should remember that family readiness to commute is important, especially parental readiness, for the management, development and well-being of the family (Palmer, 2008). Hofmeisfer (2006) emphasised that individuals, especially parents, have to adapt to changes in the lifestyle of the commuter family for success, not only in their career but also to improve the quality of family life.

Second, to examine the relation between parental readiness, adolescent problem and adolescent school performance, support was found for the notion that there were significant relations between parental readiness, adolescent externalising problem and adolescent school performance. However, the findings revealed that the symptoms of internalising problem and other problems were not significantly related to parental readiness and adolescent school performance. This is in line with previous studies that indicated that maternal separation was significantly correlated with the child's externalising problem but not with the child's internalising problem (Hewage, 2011). In addition, the Social Learning Theory (Bandura, 1976) states that people learn by observing others. The modelling processes introduced by Bandura (1984) described modelling as a general term that refers to behavioural, cognitive and attitude changes derived from observing one or more models. Children are responsive to parental psychosocial outlook and may easily mirror how parents respond (Fletcher, 1996; Pfefferbaum, 1997). Parental readiness is believed to be an important factor in adolescent academic performance. Palmer (2008) indicated that parents with low readiness would always express negative psychosocial behaviour, such as stress, aggression and depression, and that the psychosocial problem negatively affects the child's development and academic performance (Martin, 1999). Good adjustment and preparation to change among parental beliefs indirectly increases parental involvement and supervision of children's daily activities (Hardaway, 2004; Webb, 2004). A substantial body of research has documented the importance of parental practice in respect of a child's behaviour and academic performance (Keith, 1994; Rodriquez, 2002; Ishak, 2004; Gershoff et al., 2007; Han et al., 2012).

Third, we explored a model to examine the contributions of parental readiness and the adolescent externalising problem towards adolescent school performance. The model suggested that parental readiness contributed more to the adolescent externalising problem compared with adolescent school performance. The overall model contributed 21% to adolescent school performance among commuter families in Malaysia. This finding supports the earlier studies that indicated that although parental role was important in adolescent development, adolescent academic performance required the cooperation of both the school management and parental practice (Epstein & Sander, 2002; Hill & Taylor, 2004). The relationship between school and parents' involvement positively affects a child's psychosocial development and academic achievement (Yonezawa, 2000). Our findings were consistent with previous research showing that a higher level of parental readiness was related to a lower level of the adolescent externalising problem (Qi & Kaiser, 2003; Barry et al., 2005) and a lower level of the externalising problem was related to a higher level of adolescent school performance (Windle & Wiesner, 2004; Bradshaw et al., 2010). According to the study conducted by Norlila (2011), in Malaysia, commuters with less preparation and a negative perception of commuting may experience psychosocial problems, such as stress, anxiety, emotional

behaviour and aggression. Parents showing negative psychosocial behaviour have less communication and involvement with their children; this is significantly correlated with a child's academic achievement. The results are in line with our findings showing that parental readiness may have a negative impact on adolescent school performance (Malecki & Elliott, 2002; Ronald, 2003).

Fourth, this study also contributes to the literature in its proposal of a simple mediation model to explore mediation effect on adolescent academic performance. The model suggested that the relation between parental readiness and adolescent school performance in this study was partially mediated by the adolescent externalising problem. Surprisingly, this finding indicated that the total effect of the mediation model was equivalent to the total effect of the direct model. This is inconsistent with the previous studies that indicated that the degree of preparation to move partly accounted for the family and child outcome (Martin, 1999; Palmer, 2008). One possible explanation is that the above research was carried out among commuter families from military parents. Although military families are also categorised as commuter families, the 'military family syndrome' (LaGrone, 1978; Jensen, Martin, & Watanabe, 1991) should be considered. The risk and resilience factors i.e. relocation, pre-deployment and deployment every two to three years may affect the development of military families and their child's outcome (Palmer, 2008). Our finding in the mediation model strongly suggested that parental readiness was an

important component in the adolescents' development. Finally, the moderation test carried out summarised that gender moderates the causal effect of parental readiness and the adolescent externalising problem on adolescent school performance. This result was in line with previous research, which reported that boys scored significantly higher than girls in the externalising problem (Rescorla et al., 2007), and that academic achievement among girls was higher in nondelinquent groups (Malinauskiene, Vosylis, & Zukauskiene, 2011). Most studies have shown that girls performed better in school than boys, and that girls graduated from high school with a higher grade than their male peers (Perkin et al., 2004). Gender as a predictor of academic achievement has been a controversial topic in the literature.

#### CONCLUSION

Generally, our study supported the mediation model proposed by this study. For the results of moderation, we would say that gender moderates the causal effect of parental readiness and the adolescent externalising problem on school performance. However, the importance of parental readiness should be highlighted in the children's outcome among commuter families, especially families with youth. The literature shows that the majority of previous studies were carried out in either Western countries or focused on military parents, but none of the reports according to the cultural and socioeconomic status (SES) were among commuter families in Asian countries, especially Malaysia. Therefore, the research is important for contributing new knowledge for future research in social sciences to ensure that the growth of commuter families in Malaysia is in line with positive family development and children's outcome.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank Professor Dr. Rahil Mahyuddin and Dr. Maznah Baba for their assistance in verifying the research instruments. Acknowledgement also goes to Dr. Thomas M. Achenbach, the President of the Research Center for Children, Youth and Families Inc (The University of Vermont, ASEBA), for his permission to use the instrument (Youth Self-Report). This research was supported in part by University Putra Malaysia under the Grant ERGS 5527065 headed by Associate Professor Dr Samsilah Roslan.

#### REFERENCES

- Achenbach, T. M. (1991). Manual for the youth selfreport and 1991 profile. Burlington: University of Vermont, Department of Psychiatry.
- Ahmad, N. (2011). Hubungan faktor psikososial keluarga komuter dengan pendidikan anak. (Unpublished Master's Thesis). Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Bandura, A. (1976). *Social learning theory*. Englewood Cliffs. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Bandura, A. (1984). Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory. NY: Prentice-Hall.

- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderatormediator variable distinction in social psychological research: conceptual, strategic and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality* and Social Psychology, 52(6), 1173–1118.
- Barry, T. D., Dunlap, S. T., Cotten, S. J., Lochman, J. E., & Wells, K. C. (2005). The influence of maternal stress and distress on disruptive behavior problems in boys. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 44(3), 265–273.
- Bradshaw, C. P., Sudhinaraset, M., Mmari, K., & Blum, R. W. (2010). School transitions among military adolescents: A qualitative study of stress and coping. *School Psychology Review*, 39(1), 84–105.
- Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). The ecology of human development: Experiments by nature and design. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Brown, T. A. (2006). *Confirmatory factor analysis for applied research*. New York: Guilford.
- Burrell, L. M. (2006). Moving military families: The impact of relocation on family well-being, employment, and commitment to the military. In C. A. Castro, A. B. Adler & T. W. Britt (Eds.), *Military Life: The Psychology of Serving in Peace and Combat* (vol. 3, pp. 39-63). USA: Greenwood Publishing Group, Inc.
- Byrne, B. M. (2001). Structural Equation Modeling with AMOS. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers.
- Byrne, B. M. (2010). Structural equation modeling with AMOS: Basic concepts application, and programming (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.). New York: Routledge Academy.
- Dieryck, I. (2003). Canadian military families: Separation resilience and peacetime deployments. (Doctoral Dissertation). McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario.

- Dumka, L. E., Gonzales, N. A., Bonds, D. D., & Millsap, R. E. (2009). Academic success of Mexican origin adolescent boys and girls: The role of mothers' and fathers' parenting and cultural orientation. *Article of Sex Roles*, 60(7-8), 588–599.
- Elder, G. H., & Conger, R. D. (2000). Children of the land: Adversity and success in rural America. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Epstein, J. L., & Sanders, M. G. (2002). Family, school, and community partnerships. In M. H. Bornstein (Ed.), *Handbook of parenting* (vol 5): *Practical issues in parenting* (pp. 407–437). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Erikson, E. (1959). *Identity and the life cycle*. New York: W. W. Norton.
- Ferk, D. F. (2005). Organizational commitment among married dual-career employees: Travelling commuter versus single residence. SAM Advanced Management Journal, 70(2), 21-27.
- Finkel, L., Kelly, M., & Ashby, L. (2003). Geographic mobility, family, and maternal variables as related to the psychosocial adjustment of military children. *Military Medicine*, 168(12), 1019–1024.
- Fletcher, K. E. (1996). Childhood posttraumatic stress disorder. In E. J. Mash & R. A. Barkley (Eds.), *Child psychopathology* (pp. 242–276). New York: Guilford.
- Fornell, A. M., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(1), 39–50.
- Gershoff, E., Aber, J., Raver, C., & Lennon, M. (2007). Income is not enough: Incorporating material hardship into models of income association with parenting and child development. *Child Development*, 78(1), 70–95.

- Gerstel, N., & Gross, H. (1983). *Commuter marriage: A study of work and family*. New York: Guildford Press.
- Guilford, J. P. (1956). Fundamental statistics in psychology and education. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company.
- Hair, E., Moore, K., Grrett, S., Kinukawa, A., Lippman, L., & Michelson, E. (2005). The parent-adolescent relationship scale. What do children need to flourish? New York: Springer Science.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R.
  E. (2010). *Multivariate data analysis* (7<sup>th</sup> Ed.).
  Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Han, Y., Grogan-Kaylor, A., Bares, C. B., Ma, J., Castillo, M., & Delva, J. (2012). Relationship between discordance in parental monitoring and behavioral problems among Chilean adolescents. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 34(4), 783–789.
- Hardaway, T. (2004). Treatment of psychological trauma in children of military families. In N. B.
  Webb (Ed.), Social work practice with children and families. Mass trauma and violence: Helping families and children cope (pp. 259-282). New York, NY, US: Guilford Press.
- Hewage, C., Bohlin, G., Wijewardena, K., & Lindmark, G. (2011). Executive functions and child problem behaviors are sensitive to family disruption: A study of children of mothers working overseas. *Developmental Science*, 14(1), 18–25.
- Hill, N. E., & Taylor, L. C. (2004). Parental school involvement and children's academic achievement: Pragmatics and issues. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 13(4), 161–164.
- Hofmeister, H., Blossfeld, H. P., & Mills, M. (2006). Globalization, uncertainty and women's mid-

career life courses. A theoretical framework. In H. P. Blossfeld & H. Hofmeister (Eds), *Globalization, uncertainty and women's careers. An international comparison* (pp. 3–31). Cheltenham, UK/Northampton, MA: Edward Elgar.

- Ivanova, M. Y., Achenbach, T. M., Rescorla, L. A., Dumenci, L., Almqvist, F., Bilenberg, N., ... & Erol, N. (2007). The generalizability of youth self-report syndrome structure in 23 societies. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 75(5), 729–738.
- Jensen, P., Martin, D., & Watanabe, H. (1996). Children's response to parental separation during operation desert storm. *Journal of American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 35(4), 433–441.
- Keith, P. B., & Lichtman, M. V. (1994). Does parental involvement influence the academic achievement of Mexican-American eighth graders? Results from the national educational longitudinal study. *School Psychology Quarterly*, 9(4), 256–272.
- Kelly, M., Hock, E., Smith, K., Jarvis, M., Bonney, J., & Gaffrey, M. (2001). Internalizing & externalizing behavior of children with enlisted navy mother experiencing military-separation. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 40(4), 464–471.
- Kessler, R. C., Berglund, P., Demler, O., Jin, R., Merikangas, K. R., & Walters, E. E. (2005). Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the national comorbidity survey replication. *Archives of General Psychology*, 62(6), 593–602.
- Kiernan, E. E., & Mensah, F. K. (2011). Poverty family resources and children's early educational attainment: The mediating role of parenting. *British Educational Research Journal*, 37(2), 317–336.

- LaGrone, D. (1978). The military family syndrome. American Journal of Psychiatry, 135, 1040– 1043.
- Malecki, C. K., & Elliot, S. N. (2002). Children's social behaviors as predictors of academic achievement: A longitudinal analysis. School Psychology Quarterly, 17(1), 1–23.
- Malinauskiene, O., Vosylis, R., & Zukauskiene, R. (2011). Longitudinal examination of relationship between problem behaviors and academic achievement in young adolescents. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 15, 3415–3421.
- Martin, R. (1999). Adjusting to job relocation: Relocation preparation can reduce relocation stress. Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 72(2), 231-235.
- Masten, A. S., & Coatsworth, J. D. (1998). The development of competence in favorable and unfavorable environments: Lessons from research on successful children. *American Psychologist*, 53(2), 205–220.
- MWFCD. (2010 Mei). Kesan migrasi ke atas keluarga seluruh dunia. Ministry of Women, Family and Community Development. Malaysia: Siaran Akhbar Hari Keluarga Antarabangsa.
- Palmer, C. (2008). A theory of risk and resilience factors in military families. *Military Psychology*, 20(3), 205–217.
- Perkins, R., Kleiner, B., Roey, S., & Brown, J. (2004). The high school transcript study: A decade of change in curricula and achievement, 1990– 2000 (Report No. NCES 2004455). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, National Centre for Education Statistics.
- Pfefferbaum, B. (1997). Posttraumatic stress disorder in children: A review of the past 10 years. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 36(11), 1503–1509.

- Price, D. H., & Homiry, S. (1994). The army family readiness handbook: Family development readiness for the active army, the army national guard, and the army reserve. Community and Family Support Center.
- Prochaska, J. O., & Diclemente, C. C. (1983). Stages and processes of self-change in smoking: Towards an integrative model of change. *Journal* of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 51(3), 390–395.
- Prochaska, J. O., & Diclemente, C. C. (1984). The transtheoretical approach: Towards a systematic eclectic framework. IL, USA: Dow Jones Irwin.
- Pugh. K. L., & Farrell, A. D. (2012). The impact of maternal depressive symptoms on adolescents' aggression: Role of parenting and family mediators. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 21(4), 589-602.
- Qi, C. H., & Kaiser, A. P. (2003). Behavior problems of preschool children from low-income families: Review of the literature. *Topics in Early Childhood Special Education*, 23(4), 188–216.
- Rashid, A. R. A. (2006). *Institusi keluarga menghadapi cabaran alaf baru*. Kuala Lumpur: Utusan Publications and Distributions Sdn. Bhd.
- Rescorla, L., Achenbach, T., Ivanova, M. Y., Dumenci, L., Almqvist, F., Bilenberg, N., ... & Erol, N. (2007). Behavioral and emotional problems reported by parents of children ages 6 to 16 in 31 societies. *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders*, 15(3), 130–142.
- Rodriquez, J. L. (2002). Family environment and achievement among three generations of Mexican American high school students. *Applied Developmental Science*, 6(2), 88–94.
- Ronald, L. M., Rathge, R., & Mullis, A. K. (2003). Predictor of academic performance during early adolescence: A contextual view. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 27(6), 541–548.

- Schwab, J. J., Ice, J. F., Stephenson, J. J., Raymer, K., Houser, K., Graziano, L., ... & Eiland, D. S. (1995). War and the family. *Stress Medicine*, *11*(1), 131–137.
- Shah, I. M. (2004). Konsep kendiri dan pencapaian akademik golongan remaja: melihat sejauhmana gaya kepimpinan ibu bapa sebagai moderator. *Journal Teknologi*, 40(E)Jun, 33–44.
- Van Breda, A. D. P. (1997). The development of the deployment resilience seminar. (Doctoral dissertation). University of Cape Town, South Africa.
- Webb, N. (2004). A developmental-transactional framework for assessment of children and families following a mass trauma. In N. Webb (Ed.), *Mass trauma and violence: Helping families and children cope* (pp. 23–49). New York: Guilford

- Windle, M., & Wiesner, M. (2004). Trajectories of marijuana use from adolescence to young adulthood: Predictors and outcomes. *Development and Psychopathology*, 16(4), 1007–1027.
- Yonezawa, S. (2000). Unpacking the black box of tracking decisions: Critical tales of families navigating the course of placement process.
  In M. G. Sanders (Ed.), Schooling students placed at risk: Research, policy, practice in the education of poor and minority adolescents (pp. 109–140). Mahwan, NJ: Erlbaum.